






1. How well did the 2011 Joint Chapter Meeting in San Francisco meet your expectations?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Not very well		3.3%	3
Moderately well		22.8%	21
Very well		73.9%	68
		answered question	92
		skipped question	1

2. Are you likely to attend a future chapter or joint chapter meeting?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		93.5%	87
No		6.5%	6
		answered question	93
		skipped question	0

3. If your answer was "No", please tell us why.

	Response Count
	7
answered question	7
skipped question	86

4. Please rate your satisfaction with the following

	Not at all satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Rating Average	Response Count
CE classes	2.1% (1)	6.3% (3)	52.1% (25)	39.6% (19)	3.29	48
Poster sessions	0.0% (0)	10.7% (9)	63.1% (53)	26.2% (22)	3.15	84
Contributed papers	0.0% (0)	6.8% (6)	38.6% (34)	54.5% (48)	3.48	88
RML/NLM update luncheons	1.2% (1)	14.1% (12)	41.2% (35)	43.5% (37)	3.27	85
Plenary speakers	2.2% (2)	13.5% (12)	37.1% (33)	47.2% (42)	3.29	89
Exhibits	4.7% (4)	12.8% (11)	53.5% (46)	29.1% (25)	3.07	86
Overall conference organization	1.1% (1)	7.8% (7)	36.7% (33)	54.4% (49)	3.44	90
Conference facilities	2.2% (2)	7.6% (7)	34.8% (32)	55.4% (51)	3.43	92
Hotel accommodations	0.0% (0)	4.9% (3)	27.9% (17)	67.2% (41)	3.62	61
Social events	3.0% (2)	6.1% (4)	47.0% (31)	43.9% (29)	3.32	66
answered question						93
skipped question						0

5. How well did the following plenary speakers meet your expectations?

	Not very well	Moderately well	Very well	Rating Average	Response Count
Laura Stec (thoughtful cooking)	9.6% (8)	32.5% (27)	57.8% (48)	2.48	83
Karen Schneider (change management)	4.9% (4)	38.3% (31)	56.8% (46)	2.52	81
David Irby (medical education)	4.2% (3)	33.8% (24)	62.0% (44)	2.58	71
answered question					88
skipped question					5

6. How important are the activities below in influencing your decision to attend the meeting?

	Not at all important	Somewhat important	Important	Very important	Rating Average	Response Count
Vacation/recreation	45.5% (40)	36.4% (32)	13.6% (12)	4.5% (4)	1.77	88
Networking	2.2% (2)	2.2% (2)	28.9% (26)	66.7% (60)	3.60	90
Speakers/papers/posters	0.0% (0)	3.3% (3)	38.9% (35)	57.8% (52)	3.54	90
Exhibits	8.9% (8)	31.1% (28)	45.6% (41)	14.4% (13)	2.66	90
CE courses	13.2% (12)	24.2% (22)	31.9% (29)	30.8% (28)	2.80	91
Opportunity to present on scholarly activities	21.4% (18)	28.6% (24)	27.4% (23)	22.6% (19)	2.51	84
answered question						93
skipped question						0

7. What were some things you liked best about the meeting?

	Response Count
	73
answered question	73
skipped question	20

8. What were some things you liked least about the meeting?

	Response Count
	58
answered question	58
skipped question	35

9. What types of events, either social or program-related, would you like to see at future meetings?

	Response Count
	36
answered question	36
skipped question	57

3. If your answer was "No", please tell us why.

	Response Text	
1	travel costs, not the meeting itself	Mar 3, 2011 5:39 PM
2	retirement	Mar 3, 2011 6:04 PM
3	depends on funding and where the meeting is held	Mar 3, 2011 6:23 PM
4	I was visiting California from out of town	Mar 4, 2011 6:52 AM
5	I came from outside the region, sicne I'm working on a consortial project with people in the region. I would love to come back, but I don't know when I will be able to	Mar 4, 2011 8:38 AM
6	It seems like I'm most likely to only be able to attend the main MLA from now on because of committee obligations there, no offense to MLGSCA.	Mar 4, 2011 10:41 AM
7	I really wanted a qualified "Yes" answer -- as funding permits.	Mar 8, 2011 11:31 AM

7. What were some things you liked best about the meeting?

	Response Text	
1	Great city and hotel location	Mar 3, 2011 4:47 PM
2	the ease of getting there (that the hotel was literally just outside the Powell St BART stop)	Mar 3, 2011 5:35 PM
3	EBM CE course, free wireless internet (although directions on how to access for free should have been provided beforehand), papers, hotel location.	Mar 3, 2011 5:35 PM
4	It was really nice to not have to worry about food. No one went hungry at this meeting! The CE class selection was great, and the hotel's location was perfect for shopping.	Mar 3, 2011 5:40 PM
5	speakers/papers	Mar 3, 2011 5:41 PM

7. What were some things you liked best about the meeting?

	Response Text	
6	papers and posters were great, david irby was great speaker. i always love the papers & posters the best; finding out what my colleagues are up to is the most useful part of the meeting.	Mar 3, 2011 5:47 PM
7	The food was great!	Mar 3, 2011 5:48 PM
8	Class on Saturday - great	Mar 3, 2011 5:48 PM
9	<p>This was my first joint meeting and I loved it!!! I only went to the event on Friday, so those questions I left blank, did not apply to my experience. I loved Karen Schneider's presentation and the paper presentations were terrific. Also, the location was good, and I was AMAZED at how accommodating the servers were during the lunch- I have a vegan diet and they were so friendly and understanding about bringing me something that I could have to eat.</p> <p>I just thought it was a wonderful day. Thanks. I think the timing of the day was good, too. Not too long or not too short. Next year, I hope to attend the full program!</p>	Mar 3, 2011 5:50 PM
10	The food was healthy and wonderful. The paper sessions were manageable and not overwhelming.	Mar 3, 2011 5:50 PM
11	Great papers sessions that I attended; Tasty food selection!	Mar 3, 2011 5:55 PM
12	I really enjoyed the contributed papers and the poster sessions.	Mar 3, 2011 5:55 PM
13	The plenary speakers were all interesting and current. The papers I attended were all good.	Mar 3, 2011 5:58 PM
14	Like the tour of the Mechanics Library on Wednesday afternoon. Enjoyed it very much.	Mar 3, 2011 6:02 PM
15	Consciousness about holding a green meeting. The quality of the contributed papers was excellent	Mar 3, 2011 6:04 PM
16	Superior quality of the contributed papers, the conference hotel, especially the location. The meeting room temperatures were pleasant. The meeting was well-organized	Mar 3, 2011 6:08 PM
17	food included in registration; location of hotel/meeting	Mar 3, 2011 6:23 PM
18	The ease of moving from one CE room to the other.	Mar 3, 2011 6:29 PM
19	Networking with fellow librarians and learn new things about the library.	Mar 3, 2011 6:36 PM
20	Shortness of papers, chance to hear several	Mar 3, 2011 7:24 PM
21	I thought the contributing papers sessions were outstanding - the best at any conference I can remember	Mar 3, 2011 8:32 PM
22	Networking with other Librarians, library students, and recent grads	Mar 3, 2011 8:49 PM
23	Some excellent contributed papers. Lots of networking. Took two excellent CE classes. Hotel facility and location.	Mar 3, 2011 9:08 PM

7. What were some things you liked best about the meeting?

Response Text		
24	Good mix of work-related and other presentations - all high caliber!	Mar 3, 2011 9:13 PM
25	I liked the plenary speakers the best about the MLA meeting.	Mar 3, 2011 9:15 PM
26	Meet the librarians that I communicated just through an email. Learn about how the other librarians manage their libraries. Learn about how to deal with the controversial issues in CE classes.	Mar 3, 2011 9:46 PM
27	Reasonable cost for such a nice hotel, location and food at the events	Mar 3, 2011 10:23 PM
28	Networking opportunities and hospitality of every one in charge. You all made me feel very welcome.	Mar 3, 2011 11:28 PM
29	Content	Mar 4, 2011 6:52 AM
30	Networking and socializing with other solo librarians who I don't otherwise see very often.	Mar 4, 2011 7:01 AM
31	Laura Stec was very good. I wasn't sure about this when I reviewed the program but enjoyed it in the end. Quite a few contributed papers were very useful and I'm looking forward to their posting on the web site.	Mar 4, 2011 8:00 AM
32	I enjoyed presenting, the CE class I took was great (EBM and the Medical Librarian), I took a lot away from other people's paper and poster presentations, and Karen Schneider was great. The food provided was very good, too.	Mar 4, 2011 8:38 AM
33	The networking opportunities - learning from colleagues.	Mar 4, 2011 8:40 AM
34	The size was great - gave you a chance to network.	Mar 4, 2011 9:06 AM
35	Chance to see people I've not seen in a while. Learn about new things for my library. Be with my library colleagues. Meet people I "know" via email and phone. Problems were taken care of in a very timely manner by jt. meeting staff and hotel staff both.	Mar 4, 2011 9:09 AM
36	Opportunity to network with colleagues, good selection of exhibitors	Mar 4, 2011 9:47 AM
37	Talking, sharing with colleagues	Mar 4, 2011 9:48 AM
38	The reception on Wednesday evening. I was only there on Thursday -- enjoyed Stec and RML/NLM updates. Networking was great as always.	Mar 4, 2011 9:50 AM
39	contributed papers and posters	Mar 4, 2011 9:52 AM
40	Meeting schedule flowed nicely; location was good with access to other things to do for down times; not a big yogurt fan but did enjoy the yogurt parfaits for breakfast, especially the first morning.	Mar 4, 2011 10:02 AM
41	The hotel and meeting room facilities; convenience of the meeting location; the speakers and contributed papers; the food	Mar 4, 2011 10:05 AM
42	Getting to network with other librarians and attend contributed papers	Mar 4, 2011 10:27 AM

7. What were some things you liked best about the meeting?

Response Text		
43	The food and drink options were magnificent!	Mar 4, 2011 10:41 AM
44	Good location (SF, plus downtown).	Mar 4, 2011 10:41 AM
45	I've been using my money to go to MLA and couldn't this year - this was like a small MLA and very enjoyable. The presentations were good and I've already begun to change things here.	Mar 4, 2011 10:45 AM
46	Liked the variety and amount of papers presented. Very interesting and applicable to work. Also, wished I could have attended the library tours. Sounds interesting!	Mar 4, 2011 10:54 AM
47	Welcome reception and plenary speakers	Mar 4, 2011 11:04 AM
48	catching up with friends and colleagues from past to current	Mar 4, 2011 11:58 AM
49	Contributed papers I attended were all relevant to my day to day work	Mar 4, 2011 12:36 PM
50	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Laura Stec's lecture 2. Green approach 3. One large meeting room for multiple events: Plenary, posters, lunch 4. Central location to restaurants, transportation, shopping 	Mar 4, 2011 1:32 PM
51	The content of the CE courses I took. Contributed papers. Being in downtown SF.	Mar 4, 2011 1:55 PM
52	Laura Stec was wonderful. She thoroughly engaged the audience. Was deighted with the food and drink presentation for the reception, breaks and breakfast.	Mar 4, 2011 3:18 PM
53	hotel facilities were excellent exhibits opening food was great	Mar 4, 2011 4:32 PM
54	I felt the CE courses were really good.	Mar 4, 2011 5:36 PM
55	Papers & Posters - It's important to have this means to share what we are doing. Speakers - Great variety of topics.	Mar 4, 2011 6:04 PM
56	speakers, papers presented	Mar 4, 2011 6:13 PM
57	The sessions were much better than I had expected from the write-ups.	Mar 5, 2011 9:09 PM
58	The papers were great	Mar 5, 2011 11:03 PM
59	food and location	Mar 6, 2011 12:03 PM
60	Social event on opening night of conferenece. A very good opportunity to gather input and advice from others about the field and problem solving.	Mar 7, 2011 10:05 AM
61	Location Enjoyed Laura Stec's talk	Mar 7, 2011 10:54 AM

7. What were some things you liked best about the meeting?

Response Text		
62	The plenary speakers (especially Laura Stec) The overall conference organization - great job! Library-oriented social activities (Mechanics' Institute, SFPL)	Mar 7, 2011 11:45 AM
63	networking and getting new ideas from colleagues and speakers	Mar 7, 2011 2:23 PM
64	Networking with librarian colleagues and the NNLM Update.	Mar 7, 2011 4:38 PM
65	Dr. Irby's presentation; networking with old friends and making new ones	Mar 8, 2011 9:54 AM
66	Very well organized. Plenary speakers were terrific. Papers generally very well done.	Mar 8, 2011 11:31 AM
67	The food at the Parc55! Exceptional. 2) The fact that the librarian profile was somewhat younger - usually everyone is as old as I am! 3) The posters were thought-provoking.	Mar 8, 2011 2:31 PM
68	Food options were generous and interesting. Posters were up a long time to allow people to browse. CE Course were diverse and appropriate!	Mar 8, 2011 4:46 PM
69	The other attendees	Mar 8, 2011 9:19 PM
70	The sessions were scheduled in close proximity, that makes it easy to know where to go. The lunches were nutritious and just right serving portions.	Mar 9, 2011 10:36 AM
71	Got some good ideas from presented papers, which had hospital librarian presenters.	Mar 10, 2011 8:32 AM
72	Excellent keynote speakers; CE offerings. Some interesting "contributed papers."	Mar 10, 2011 8:11 PM
73	The fact that all our spaces and meeting rooms were together and fairly compact was very nice. Food was very good. David Irby's talk was extremely interesting. Several of the papers I heard were very informative.	Mar 22, 2011 3:33 PM

8. What were some things you liked least about the meeting?

Response Text		
1	First two plenary speakers were disappointing in terms of topic and ability to engage	Mar 3, 2011 4:47 PM
2	nothing; you did a great job	Mar 3, 2011 5:35 PM
3	Not enough posters, no option to state vegetarian meal preferences.	Mar 3, 2011 5:35 PM

8. What were some things you liked least about the meeting?

	Response Text	
4	My only complaint was that during the Welcome Reception/breakfasts, there wasn't enough seating. I know we were supposed to network, but it isn't easy to eat while standing.	Mar 3, 2011 5:40 PM
5	My CE Course presentation	Mar 3, 2011 5:41 PM
6	Can we do the paper sessions with no conflict or stagger them? It is sad to not be able to attend more.	Mar 3, 2011 5:47 PM
7	The Exhibits were too small and too short. The SF Public Library was very disorganized. Lack of communication, and instruction about the drawings.	Mar 3, 2011 5:48 PM
8	Only 2 poster presentation for consumer health; no speakers	Mar 3, 2011 5:48 PM
9	I would have liked to have seen the poster presenters on Friday. I assume they presented on Thursday? That is my only complaint; I wish there was some time for the posters on Friday.	Mar 3, 2011 5:50 PM
10	Lack of reliable internet service throughout the hotel.	Mar 3, 2011 5:50 PM
11	The paper session rooms were too close together, so that you could overhear the session going on next door, or even the kitchen staff behind the rooms. Can be distracting for presenters. The rooms got quite crowded when the popular sessions were running - standing room only.	Mar 3, 2011 5:55 PM
12	Not enough seating in the contributed papers areas.	Mar 3, 2011 5:55 PM
13	The rooms for the contributed papers were way too small for the number of attendees. Lots of people were turned away.	Mar 3, 2011 5:58 PM
14	Cost of hotel room.	Mar 3, 2011 6:02 PM
15	having to choose what papers to attend; not much available time with exhibitors; probably not enough space to have posters & exhibitors & food together	Mar 3, 2011 6:23 PM
16	I know there is no other time to do it, but I really do not like to hear updates when eating--would rather take the time to talk with table mates. The RML update had more info I could use than NLM this time. Regarding meals: why is it across the restaurant industry that vegetarians are served fewer vegies than the meat eaters? They always get pasta with some slivers of vegies. Why not serve the brocolli, the rice, and a vegetable in place of the meat?	Mar 3, 2011 6:29 PM
17	Decide which session to go to.	Mar 3, 2011 6:36 PM
18	Foodie speaker; bleu cheese dressing; posters left too early.	Mar 3, 2011 7:24 PM
19	It was mildly frustrating that wireless was not available in the conference center itself although, of course, it was in the rooms.	Mar 3, 2011 8:32 PM
20	Laura Stec	Mar 3, 2011 9:08 PM
21	The rooms for the contributed papers couls have been a bit larger - but that's not the organizers' fault.	Mar 3, 2011 9:13 PM

8. What were some things you liked least about the meeting?

Response Text		
22	It was not easy to focus on the latter half of EBM class due to the noise made by a personnel who came to the class to fix the door.	Mar 3, 2011 9:46 PM
23	That the reception was not held in the exhibitors hall - this would be a great opportunity to mingle with vendors and each other.	Mar 3, 2011 10:23 PM
24	Nothing comes to mind - I only attended on Thursday.	Mar 3, 2011 11:28 PM
25	Having both an RML Update and NLM Update on the same day was too much. I didn't realize that the vendors were only there on Thursday as that is when I arrived. I did not get to spend enough time in the exhibit hall. For example, the morning Thurs plenary ran into exhibit time 20 minutes. Then the papers were starting and you really needed to get a seat as the rooms were small. It would have helped to have better time management of the talks and questions in plenaries to not overlap with the next item on agenda.	Mar 4, 2011 8:00 AM
26	Technical accommodations for speakers.	Mar 4, 2011 8:40 AM
27	The Powell rooms were too small for the contributed papers. The Embarcadero room wasn't that good for the talks the way it was configured. The Dine Arounds were not mentioned during announcements. Thursday was almost too busy. Library tours conflicted with CE.	Mar 4, 2011 9:09 AM
28	RML update: Please allow more time for this, so the RML folks don't have to talk over people eating. I couldn't hear most of what they said.	Mar 4, 2011 9:47 AM
29	Concurrent contributed papers are always frustrating; rooms were too small.	Mar 4, 2011 9:48 AM
30	Lack of protein at cont breakfast on Thursday morning. Please at least have a bowl of boiled eggs for those of us who can't do the sweet stuff in the morning. Must say that the yogurt parfaits were wonderful.	Mar 4, 2011 9:50 AM
31	presentations during lunch were hard to hear/follow and limited the amount of socializing	Mar 4, 2011 9:52 AM
32	Rooms for papers were too small, too crowded and too hot. I did not take a CE but heard that there were no snacks provided for breaks during the 4 hour class sessions-that would have been nice.	Mar 4, 2011 10:02 AM
33	Not getting to attend some contributed papers as they were in different sessions at the same time. Not enough time to visit the exhibits.	Mar 4, 2011 10:27 AM
34	A lot of the organization from the presenter point of view felt disorganized, late paper acceptances, paper sessions starting late & faciliators not having the area set up for talks, etc.	Mar 4, 2011 10:41 AM
35	The crowded rooms - we all wanted to listen to the same presentations.	Mar 4, 2011 10:45 AM
36	Really, nothing negative at all. Great job all around.	Mar 4, 2011 10:54 AM
37	N/A	Mar 4, 2011 11:04 AM

8. What were some things you liked least about the meeting?

	Response Text	
38	rooms too crowded for the contributed papers, esp since they were consecutive and people were wanting to move in and out, depending on selection	Mar 4, 2011 11:58 AM
39	Can't really think of any real criticisms	Mar 4, 2011 12:36 PM
40	1) No internet connection in meeting rooms, or availability for conferencees. Food service lacking and exercise facility problematic - power outages limiting access to equipment.	Mar 4, 2011 1:55 PM
41	No snacks or beverages, i.e. coffee or soda for real breaks for the 4 hour courses.	Mar 4, 2011 2:25 PM
42	Could not even get into some of the contributed papers since the rooms where too small and not enough seating. Unlike the breaks and reception, the lunches were not very good.	Mar 4, 2011 3:18 PM
43	missed the special evening activity or event	Mar 4, 2011 4:32 PM
44	Not enough room in the rooms for the paper presentations. Missed some I really wanted to see because to it. Also didn't feel the chef was really relevant to the profession.	Mar 4, 2011 5:36 PM
45	The CE Managing Information Overload did not meet my expectations. I did not really learn about any great ways to manage the amount of information that I am expected to manage. The instructor seemed a bit unprepared. His focus was not on giving out great tips to get organized and process information. He was more focused on lecturing on his are of speciality as a college professor and in advertising his book. I learned more from the other class participants which I could do without spending money on a CE which required another night's stay at the hotel because it was held so late on the day after the conference.	Mar 4, 2011 6:04 PM
46	Lack of wireless in the conference rooms. Lack of printed conference schedule.	Mar 5, 2011 9:09 PM
47	No wi-fi in the meeting rooms.	Mar 6, 2011 12:03 PM
48	Not enough opportunities for discussion. Some functions emphasized too much on the lecture circuit. Need more audience interaction.	Mar 7, 2011 10:05 AM
49	price of the hotel	Mar 7, 2011 2:23 PM
50	Sometimes from back of room, too difficult to hear a soft spoken person and to view graphics.	Mar 7, 2011 4:38 PM
51	The registration process. For those of us who wanted to register with a paper form/check request to our AP departments, the process was <i>*very*</i> clunky. Why not just have a PDF form option, rather than force people to turn the online registration form into a paper form? The rooms for the Papers sessions were <i>*very*</i> cramped. I understand that San Francisco hotels charge big bucks for the square footage, but those rooms were tiny. The Miyako (now the Hotel Kabuki) had more space, but it isn't Downtown (I don't think of that as a negative but others might),	Mar 8, 2011 11:31 AM

8. What were some things you liked least about the meeting?

Response Text		
52	The two meeting rooms (Powell I&II) were very small and couldn't accommodate everyone comfortably. The time allotted for the contributed papers was too short, and there was no time inbetween them to change to another paper in the other room.	Mar 8, 2011 2:31 PM
53	Hotel didn't appear to recycle. Food events didn't really direct attendees to the exhibitors; better layout next time! Some paper presentations didn't run smoothly because of computer problems.	Mar 8, 2011 4:46 PM
54	The price of the rooms are too expensive. The breakfast and snacks were heavy on carbs.	Mar 9, 2011 10:36 AM
55	Very few exhibitors. Didn't know about the raffle until I'd already done my exhibit visiting.	Mar 10, 2011 8:32 AM
56	The small rooms for the contributed papers...many more wanted to take part than there was room available let alone chairs.	Mar 10, 2011 8:11 PM
57	The breaks, food and poster sessions were close to the exhibit hall, but did not draw people into the exhibit hall. Should be in with the exhibitors.	Mar 16, 2011 10:55 AM
58	The room where we ate and had the NLM and MLA updates was an awkward shape, and about 1/3 of the seats had no view of the screen. The room seemed to be poorly laid out for this type of presentation.	Mar 22, 2011 3:33 PM

9. What types of events, either social or program-related, would you like to see at future meetings?

Response Text		
1	i like that this meeting generally offers information on what colleagues are doing with hot topics	Mar 3, 2011 5:35 PM
2	More time with the exhibitors, more/longer social events.	Mar 3, 2011 5:35 PM
3	I thought the events for this meeting were adequate, but having a few more touristy things might have been nice.	Mar 3, 2011 5:40 PM
4	Usual dinner program events.	Mar 3, 2011 5:41 PM
5	Add lightning talks or ignite presentations, or other brief talks, as other ways to get more people to present and encourage more participation.	Mar 3, 2011 5:47 PM
6	This year I missed the round table discussion.	Mar 3, 2011 5:48 PM
7	Don't have any feedback for this question!	Mar 3, 2011 5:50 PM
8	Visits to archives or tech places that are near conference locations, a half day trip to Google would have been nice.	Mar 3, 2011 5:50 PM

9. What types of events, either social or program-related, would you like to see at future meetings?

	Response Text	
9	a CE on how to improve your in-person teaching styles	Mar 3, 2011 5:55 PM
10	Love the Round-table discussions like the MLA has.	Mar 3, 2011 5:55 PM
11	An evening event more like a closing party would be nice for the second evening.	Mar 3, 2011 5:58 PM
12	Would like to hear talk about the former U.S. Surgeon General Richard Carmon (from Arizona) 2002-2006.	Mar 3, 2011 6:02 PM
13	not able to attend tours but thought that was a nice event; having one session of papers & not having to choose	Mar 3, 2011 6:23 PM
14	Job Fair or one-on-one interviews.	Mar 3, 2011 8:49 PM
15	I would like to have more opportunities for Dine-Arounds.	Mar 3, 2011 9:15 PM
16	I hope there was a special program or coordinator that introduce the first time attendees to information/people they want to know.	Mar 3, 2011 9:46 PM
17	I liked the idea of the library tours even though I was unable to attend. This is just a thought, but it might be nice to socialize during lunch rather than listen to a speaker.	Mar 3, 2011 11:28 PM
18	Maybe a visit to some local health science libraries.	Mar 4, 2011 8:38 AM
19	What was offered was adequate for this size of meeting.	Mar 4, 2011 8:40 AM
20	Another Roundtable at lunch.	Mar 4, 2011 9:09 AM
21	Prefer banquets to dine-around as presents more opportunity to network.	Mar 4, 2011 9:48 AM
22	I like having a one non-regular vendor in the exhibit area. Last year it was someone selling jewelry. This year it was a member selling art to help a sister library in Zimbabwe - even better.	Mar 4, 2011 9:50 AM
23	group attendance at cultural events (theater, museum, etc)	Mar 4, 2011 10:05 AM
24	Maybe a buffet dinner and more opportunities to socialize	Mar 4, 2011 10:27 AM
25	I liked having a lot of time to mingle and have snacks, that was great. More like that is good. Maybe an optional cocktail hour in the evening where you pay for your own drinks.	Mar 4, 2011 10:41 AM
26	Loved the libguides presentations by hospital and academic libraries.	Mar 4, 2011 10:45 AM
27	Something of the local culture--play, arts, exhibits in nearby museums would be really nice to attend as a group, if available.	Mar 4, 2011 3:18 PM
28	see8	Mar 4, 2011 4:32 PM
29	Anything to promote networking.	Mar 4, 2011 6:04 PM

9. What types of events, either social or program-related, would you like to see at future meetings?

Response Text		
30	More open forums to discuss timely issues all member are facing and possible solutions.	Mar 7, 2011 10:05 AM
31	Continued library-focused tours One or two CE classes with a humanities flavor (history of nursing/medicine/medical librarianship)	Mar 7, 2011 11:45 AM
32	It would have been nice to have an evening event apart from the opening reception	Mar 7, 2011 2:23 PM
33	I like the welcome receptions and group socials.	Mar 7, 2011 4:38 PM
34	Panels and outside speakers, as is done at SLA (which I prefer to the contributed papers format).	Mar 8, 2011 2:31 PM
35	Similar types will work well. We need to in some CE classes for cataloging and collection development. Anybody willing to teach on those subjects might be hard to find.	Mar 9, 2011 10:36 AM
36	Round table discussions	Mar 22, 2011 3:33 PM